

K&L|GATES

K&L Gates LLP
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
T 212.536.3900 www.klgates.com

October 28, 2011

Walter P. Loughlin
D 212.536.4065
F 212.536.3901
walter.loughlin@klgates.com

Via ECF

Honorable Kiyo A. Matsumoto
United States District Court Judge

Honorable Roanne L. Mann
United States Magistrate Judge

United States District Court
225 Cadman Plaza East
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Re: Ross University v. Brooklyn-Queens Health Care, et al,
09-cv-1410 (KAM) (RLM)

Dear Judges Matsumoto and Mann:

My firm and I represent Defendants Brooklyn-Queens Health Care, Inc. ("BQHC") and Wyckoff Heights Medical Center ("Wyckoff," together with BQHC, "Defendants") in the above-captioned matter.

By Orders dated July 26, 2011, September 27, 2011, and October 19, 2011, the Court set forth the dates by which service of Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures, expert reports, and expert depositions would occur, as well as the schedule for the service and filing of Plaintiff's and Defendants' Pretrial Order submissions and the Joint Pretrial Order ("JPTO"). For the Court's convenience, copies of these prior Orders are enclosed as Exhibit 1.

The Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures and expert reports were timely served. Pursuant to the October 19 Order, expert depositions will be completed by November 3, 2011, and the JPTO is due to be filed by November 30, 2011.

Upon the completion of fact discovery, and the near completion of expert discovery, Defendants have determined that they have claims for partial summary judgment with respect to two of the theories of liability alleged by Plaintiff Ross University School of Medicine, Ltd. ("Ross"). The issue of whether either of the parties wished to submit summary judgment motions has not previously been raised with Judge Mann. As a result, the current case scheduling Orders issued by the Court do not provide for the briefing and submission of such motions.

K&L|GATES

Hon. Kiyo A. Matsumoto
Hon. Roanne L. Mann
October 28, 2011
Page 2

Now, however, with discovery nearly concluded, the issue of summary judgment is ripe and appropriate on two independent grounds that Defendants will outline in a forthcoming letter requesting a pre-motion conference pursuant to Rule IV(B)(1) of Judge Matsumoto's Chambers Practices.¹ The timing of Defendants' proposed motions is consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 56, which states that a party "may file a motion for summary judgment at any time until 30 days after the close of all discovery." Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(b).

Prior to the writing of this letter, Defendants' counsel contacted counsel for Ross on October 24, 2011 to discuss the issue of summary judgment motions, and to propose a schedule that would have briefing on any such motions completed by January 24, 2012, less than 60 days after the parties' current deadline for filing the JPTO. In response to this proposal, Ross' counsel expressed concern that the briefing and submission of summary judgment motions would delay the setting of a trial date. On October 27, 2011, Ross' counsel advised that Ross opposes any modification of the current schedule, set forth in Judge Mann's Orders, that would result in delaying the filing of the JPTO.

Defendants are entitled to file summary judgment motions under Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 and subject to the Court's pre-trial motion procedures. Rather than causing undue delay, testing Ross' claims through summary judgment motions could narrow the case and materially affect the scope of any issues to be tried, and thus the scope and content of any JPTO.

Accordingly, Defendants respectfully submit that the summary judgment process should precede the parties' preparation and filing of the JPTO, and propose the following modified case management schedule:

- Summary judgment motions due December 2, 2011;
- Briefs in opposition to summary judgment due January 10, 2012;
- Reply briefs in further support of summary judgment due January 24, 2012;
- Plaintiff's portion of the JPTO due 10 days after the Court's ruling on summary judgment;

¹ Defendants intend to submit a letter requesting a pre-motion conference on or before November 1, 2011. However, Defendants believed that it was appropriate to advise the Court of their intent to move for summary judgment by way of this letter in light of the motions' case management implications and Judge Mann's prior Orders.

K&L|GATES

Hon. Kiyo A. Matsumoto
Hon. Roanne L. Mann
October 28, 2011
Page 3

- Defendants' portion of the JPTO due 20 days after the Court rules on summary judgment;
- Combined JPTO due 30 days after the Court rules on summary judgment; and
- Status conference and trial date setting to be ordered at the Court's convenience thereafter.

Respectfully submitted,

Walter P. Loughlin s/APB

Walter P. Loughlin

cc: George J. Tzanetopoulos, Esq. (*by email only*)

Exhibit 1

Baker Hostetler

The Court adopts the parties' proposed schedule for expert disclosure. After expert discovery ends, the parties shall serve and file their Joint Pretrial Order ("JPTO"), in accordance with Judge

BY ECF

Honorable Roanne L. Mann
United States Magistrate Judge
Eastern District of New York
225 Cadman Plaza East
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Re: *Ross University. v. Brooklyn-Queens Health Care Inc.*
No. 09 Civ. 1410 (KAM) (RLM)

Dear Judge Mann:

Pursuant to the Court's order of July 20, 2011, counsel for the parties have conferred and propose the following schedule for expert disclosure and depositions:

- (1) Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures and initial reports for all parties shall be served no later than August 31, 2011;
- (2) Any rebuttal expert disclosures and/or reports shall be served no later than September 30, 2011;
- (3) Depositions of experts shall be concluded by October 21, 2011; and
- (4) Status conference and trial date setting to be ordered at the Court's convenience thereafter.

Respectfully submitted,

George J. Tzanetopoulos

cc: Walter P. Loughlin

Baker & Hostetler LLP

191 North Wacker Drive
Suite 3100
Chicago, IL 60606-1901

T 312.416.6200
F 312.416.6201
www.bakerlaw.com

George J. Tzanetopoulos
direct dial: 312.416.6225
gtzanetopoulos@bakerlaw.com

pursuant to the following schedule: Plaintiff's portion shall be served by October 31, 2011; defendants' portion shall be served by

November 10, 2011; the combined JPTO shall be filed

via ECF by November 17, 2011.

SO ORDERED

/s/
Roanne L. Mann
U.S. Magistrate Judge
Dated: 7/26/11

Case 1:09-cv-01410-KAM-RLM Document 86 Filed 09/27/11 Page 1 of 1 PageID #: 881

K&L|GATES

Defendants' request for an 11-day extension to serve their expert rebuttal report on damages, and to complete expert depositions, is granted, on consent. In addition, the deadlines for serving and filing the Joint Pretrial Order ("JPTO") are likewise extended for 11 days. Therefore, the combined JPTO shall be filed via ECF by November 28, 2011.

K&L Gates LLP
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
T 212.536.3900 www.klgates.com

Walter P. Loughlin
D 212.536.4065
F 212.536.3901
walter.loughlin@klgates.com

September 26, 2011

By ECF

Honorable Roanne L. Mann
United States Magistrate Judge
United States District Court
225 Cadman Plaza East
Brooklyn, NY 11201

SO ORDERED:
/s/
Roanne L. Mann
U.S. Magistrate Judge
Dated: 9/27/11

Re: Ross University School of Medicine v. Brooklyn Queens Health Care Inc. and Wyckoff Heights Medical Center, No. 09 Civ. 1410 (KAM) (RLM)

Dear Judge Mann:

Pursuant to the Court's Order of July 26, 2011, the defendants in the above-captioned matter are to serve on or before September 30, 2011, an expert rebuttal report to the plaintiff's expert report on damages.

After timely service of plaintiff's expert report and related disclosures, I had been working with a leading accounting firm toward producing a rebuttal report by this Friday. However, I was notified by that firm on the afternoon of Friday, September 23, 2011, that despite prior assurances, they had identified a conflict that they could not clear.

I expect to have an alternate expert in place shortly. Due to the pressure of the deadline this Friday, and the unexpected displacement of the expert I expected to prepare the report, I request an extension of time of 11 days, from the September 30 date to October 11, 2011, the first working day following Columbus Day and the Jewish holidays.

I am authorized to advise the Court that plaintiff's counsel has no objection to this application on condition that the date by which the expert depositions are to occur be similarly extended. The current date, pursuant to the Court's July 26 Order, is October 21, 2011. A corresponding extension of that date would require depositions of experts to be concluded by November 1, 2011.

Case 1:09-cv-01410-KAM-RLM Document 88 Filed 10/19/11 Page 1 of 1 PageID #: 886

Case 1:09-cv-01410-KAM-RLM Document 87 Filed 10/19/11 Page 1 of 4 PageID #: 882

K&L|GATES

K&L Gates LLP
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
t 212.536.3900 www.klgates.com

Walter P. Loughlin
D 212.536.4065
F 212.536.3901
walter.loughlin@klgates.com

October 19, 2011

By ECF

Honorable Roanne L. Mann
United States Magistrate Judge
United States District Court
225 Cadman Plaza East
Brooklyn, NY 11201

*Application granted,
on consent.* **SO ORDERED:**

/s/
Roanne L. Mann
U.S. Magistrate Judge
Dated: 10/19/11

Re: Ross University v. Brooklyn-Queens Health Care, et al,
09-cv-1410 (KAM) (RLM)

Dear Judge Mann:

By Orders dated July 26, 2011, and September 27, 2011, the Court set forth the dates by which service of Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures, expert reports, and expert depositions would occur, as well as the schedule for the service and filing of plaintiff's and defendants' Pretrial Order submissions and the Joint Pretrial Order. For the Court's convenience, I have enclosed copies of the prior Orders.

The Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures and expert reports were timely served. Pursuant to the September 27 Order, expert depositions were to be completed by November 1, 2011. Due to my scheduling conflicts, those depositions can be completed during the week of November 1, but not until November 3.

I am authorized by counsel for Ross to report that he has no objection to taking the deposition of defendants' expert on November 3 rather than by November 1, on condition that the other deadlines set forth in the Courts Orders are similarly extended by two days.

The modified schedule would be as follows:

1. Depositions of experts to be concluded by November 3;
2. Plaintiff's portion of the Joint Pretrial Order due November 13;
3. Defendants' portion of the Joint Pretrial Order due November 23;
4. Combined Joint Pretrial Order due November 30; and